23rd Investment Treaty Forum
Public Meeting:

‘Can International Investment Law be Restated? Or is Jurisprudence Constante the El Dorado of Investment Treaty Lawyers?’

It has sometimes been suggested that while the international legal system admits no formal principle of stare decisis, there might nevertheless develop in the field of international investment treaties, a jurisprudence constante – a “persisting jurisprudence” that secures unification and stability of judicial activity. This 23rd Meeting of the Investment Treaty Forum investigates that claim, combining reports on where the investment treaty “case law” stands in the field with a theoretical and practical inquiry as to the utility of this “precedent” in light of the increasing heterogeneity among international investment treaty arrangements and the structural limitations of the regime. Among the issues to be addressed directly will be the principles applicable to claims for indirect expropriation, the evolving meaning of “fair and equitable treatment,” the operation of umbrella clauses, and the definition of “investment.”

Keynote Address
• Andrea Bjorklund, McGill University

Participants
• Jean d’Aspremont, University of Manchester
• Dan Sarooshi, University of Oxford; Essex Court Chambers
• N Jansen Calamita, Investment Treaty Forum
• David Caron, King’s College London
• Martins Paparinskis, University College London
• Christoph Schreuer, University of Vienna
• Jeremy Sharpe, U.S. Department of State
• Laurence Shore, Herbert Smith Freehills
• Daniella Strik, Linklaters (Amsterdam)
• Jeffrey Sullivan, Allen & Overy

Pricing and Registration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIICL Members:</th>
<th>Non-members:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>£185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time Academic</td>
<td>£125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time Student</td>
<td>£50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. The Academic rate also applies to staff of government and non-profit organisations.

Find out more and book online at www.biicl.org/events